



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/565,477

01/20/2006

Misao Konishi

117454-002

9910

24573

7590

09/30/2009

K&L Gates LLP
P.O. Box 1135
CHICAGO, IL 60690

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TRI V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1796

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/30/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/565,477	Applicant(s) KONISHI, MISAO	
	Examiner TRI V. NGUYEN	Art Unit 1796	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-12 and 14-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/10/2009</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/09/2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Upon the amendment filed on 07/09/2009, Claims 10, 14-18 are amended; Claim 13 is withdrawn; Claims 20-23 are added and Claims 1-7 are cancelled. The currently pending claims are Claims 8-23.

Applicants' remarks and amendments have been carefully considered; however, they are not found persuasive and the rejections are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

Art Unit: 1796

claimed invention. The claimed limitation of the entire surface of the particle being completely covered seems to be absent from the originally filed specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. Claims 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15 and 17-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wakiya et al. (WO/2002/035555 - the US equivalent 2004/0109995 is cited from hereon).

Claim 8: Wakiya et al. disclose a conductive coated particle that includes a metallic core that is surface treated with a carboxyl containing resin that is treated with an aziridine based agent (abstract, § 5-8, 12, 15-18, 30 and examples starting §54). In particular, it is noted that Wakiya et al. teach the component (c) - applicants' aziridine- surrounding the component (a)/(b) in paragraph 38: "introducing the polymerizing or chain-transferring functional group or catalyst moiety (C)-containing compound onto the surface of the metal-surfaced particles is not particularly restricted but may be, for example, the one comprising introducing a compound having a reactive functional group (B), such as hydroxyl, carboxyl, amino, epoxy, silyl, silanol or isocyanato group, and a functional group (A) capable of binding to the metal onto the metal surface and then reacting the reactive functional group (B) with a compound having a functional group capable of covalent bonding with the reactive functional group (B) and having the polymerizing or chain-transferring functional group or catalyst moiety (C) to thereby effect the introduction of the polymerizing or chain-transferring functional group or catalyst moiety (C)."

Claims 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18 and 19: Wakiya et al. disclose the features of a acrylic-styrene, epoxy and poly(meth)acrylic acid resin (§ 21) and an anisotropic conductive film which is construed to meet the limitation of adhesive (§2).

Art Unit: 1796

However, the Wakiya et al. disclosure is insufficient to anticipate the above listed claims such as selection of a specific element, e.g. a functional group or a resin, it would have nonetheless been obvious to the skilled artisan to achieve the synthesis composition, as the reference teaches each of the claimed ingredients for the same utility and such modifications are recognized as being well within the purview of the skilled artisan to yield predictable results.

7. Claims 10, 16, 20, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakiya et al. in view of Soken Chem (JP 08-325543), Mitsubishi (JP 09-030112) or Travis (US 3,985,920).

Wakiya et al. disclose the invention of claim 8 but Wakiya et al. do not explicitly disclose the specific aziridine surface treatment. In an analogous art, Soken Chem, Mitsubishi or Travis discloses the features of surface treating a particle with applicants' aziridine compound is well-known (Soken Chem: §42-46), Mitsubishi: § 126, 127 and 139 and Travis: col 3, lines 29-41). Wakiya et al. invite such enhancement by teaching that the aziridine technique is well known (§ 30). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that applying the known technique of surface treating with an aziridine compound would have yielded predictable results and resulted in an improved system. It would have been recognized that applying the aziridine cross-linking technique to the teachings of Wakiya et al. would have yielded predictable results because the level of ordinary skill in the art demonstrated by the references applied shows the ability to employ such features into similar systems. Further, the use of an aziridine would have been recognized by those of ordinary skill in the art as resulting in an improved composition that would allow for enhanced cross-linking and coupling properties.

8. Claims 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakiya et al. in view of Yamada et al. (US 5965064).

Art Unit: 1796

Wakiya et al. disclose the invention of claim 8 but Wakiya et al. do not explicitly disclose the entire particle being surface coated with the insulating layer. In an analogous art, given that Yamada et al. teach metal-based electroconductive particles are and are entirely coated with an insulation material layer such as acryl and acryl-styrene (abstract, col 4, lines 39-49 and col 5, lines 14-33), it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time of the invention to prepare a particle that is completely coated to impart a control of insulation layer properties and behavior.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 07/09/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the Wakiya reference is silent with respect to the features of the insulating layer being surface-treated with a polyfunctional aziridine compound (page 5 et seq.). The examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that Wikiya et al. teach three components - (a), (b) and (c). In paragraphs 36 and 38, Wikiya et al. teach various methods for coating the metal particle that include graphing components (a)/(b) onto the surface and further treating with component (c). In paragraph 30, Wikiya et al. teach that component (c) can be arizidine or methylazetidine. Thus teaching the insulating layer (a)/(b) being treated with an arizidine. Furthermore, the Soken Chem (JP 08-325543), Mitsubishi (JP 09-030112) or Travis (US 3,985,920) references teach that aziridine is used as a crosslinking agent in the art.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Art Unit: 1796

10.1. Okazaki et al. (US 2002/0032250) teach a photocuring resin that include particles.

10.2. Schottman et al. (US 2003/0203991) teach a coating composition with metal particle and linkers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRI V. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-6965. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. V. N./
Examiner, Art Unit 1796
September 30, 2009

/Mark Kopec/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796